

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2002) KLR VOL 12 PART 149 pp. 3167 - 3320

DECEMBER 2002

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

DECEMBER CONTD.

4. Federal Government of Nigeria v. Zebra Energy Ltd p. 3167
5. Mobil Producing Nig Unltd v. Lagos State Environmental Protection Agency p. 3223
6. Nigerian Air Force v. Shekete p. 3257
7. Nigerian Air Force v. James p. 3287

ii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2002) 12 KLR**

ACTIONS - Commencement - FEPA Act s.29(2) - Breach - Failure to complain - Since FEPA did not question competence of the suit - It is deemed to have waived protection conferred on it by the statute (H4) Mobil Producing Nig Unltd v. LSEPA p. 3223

ACTIONS - Commencement - Presumption of regularity - Plaintiff who commenced action which on the face is competent - Is deemed to have invoked presumed jurisdiction of court (H3) Mobil Producing Nig Unltd v. LSEPA p. 3223

ACTIONS - Party - Striking out of - Effect on competence - The suit should have proceeded against other defendants - Irrespective of striking out of 2nd defendant (H7) Mobil Producing Nig Unltd v. LSEPA p. 3223

ACTIONS - Pre-action notice - Court processes - Distinction - Pre-action notice is for benefit of person to be served - And should not be equated with processes - Which are integral part of proceeding (H5) Mobil Producing Nig Unltd v. LSEPA p. 3223

ACTIONS - Pre-action notice - Failure to serve - Effect - Suit commenced in default of service - Is incompetent as against party not served - Provided he challenges competence of the suit (H1) Mobil Producing Nig Unltd v. LSEPA p. 3223

ACTIONS - Pre-action notice - Service of - Status - Service of the notice on party is procedural requirement - And not an issue of substantive law - On which rights of plaintiff depend (H2) Mobil Producing Nig Unltd v. LSEPA p. 3223

ACTIONS - Proper parties - Determination - Any party whose interest is affected directly - If reliefs claimed are granted - Is proper party to a suit (H6) Mobil Producing Nig Unltd v. LSEPA p. 3223

APPEALS - Briefs - Format - Supreme Court Rules O.6 r.5(1) - The rules provide for format and component of brief - Hence respondents' brief ought to follow the laid down guidelines (H1) Nigerian Air Force v. Shekete p. 3257

APPEALS - Filing - Time frame - Armed Forces Act s.184(1) - Appeal to Court of Appeal shall be lodged within 40 days - From date Court Martial promulgated its findings (H3) Nigerian Air Force v. Shekete p. 3257

APPEALS - Issues - Failure to Identify - Respondent's brief is bad on ground of untidiness - And his counsel was wrong to argue unidentified issue (H2) Nigerian Air Force v. Shekete p. 3257

APPEALS - Issues - Suo motu determination - Propriety - Since respondent's statement in Exhibit 61 was never raised as an issue in Court of Appeal - The court was wrong to have considered same suo motu (H7) Nigerian Air Force v. James p. 3287

APPEALS - Leave - Failure to obtain - Effect - Since respondent did not seek leave to appeal against decision of Court Martial - The appeal is incompetent (H6) Nigerian Air Force v. Shekete p. 3257

APPEALS - Leave to appeal - Extension of time to appeal - Distinction - The former presupposes that appeal is not as of right - While the latter presupposes expiration of time to appeal (H4) Nigerian Air Force v. Shekete p. 3257

APPEALS - Orders of court - Discharge & acquittal - Propriety - Court of Appeal wrongly gave the order - As there is no legal basis for so deciding (H8) Nigerian Air Force v. James p. 3287

CONTRACTS - Breach - Public Officers Protection Ordinance s.2 - Application - The section does not apply to cases of contract (H4) Fed. Govt. of Nig v. Zebra Energy Ltd p. 3167

CONTRACTS - Offer - Acceptance - In unilateral contract - Offer is accepted on commencement of performance - Though completion of performance - Is condition precedent for offeror's liability (H1) Fed. Govt. of Nig v. Zebra Energy Ltd p. 3167

CONTRACTS - Offer - Mode of acceptance - Acceptance may be

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2002) 12 KLR**

demonstrated by the conduct of parties - As well as by their words - Or by documents that have passed between them (H2) Fed. Govt. of Nig v. Zebra Energy Ltd p. 3167

CONTRACTS - Oil Prospecting Licence - Revocation - Reasons for - Rationale for terminating the contract is irrelevant - But it amounts to breach of contract - Where termination was done contrary to statute (H7) Fed. Govt. of Nig v. Zebra Energy Ltd p. 3167

COURT MARTIAL - Charges - Amendment - Effect - Since trial began within three months as required - Amendment of the charge is of no effect (H3) Nigerian Air Force v. James p. 3287

COURT MARTIAL - Convening of - Power - By s.131(3) Armed Forces Decree - An appropriate superior authority can authorize senior officer - To order a court martial in special circumstances (H1) Nigerian Air Force v. James p. 3287

COURTS - Consequential order - Correctness of - Under O.7 r.3 Federal High Court Rules - Trial court rightly gave the order - Since there was no claim for damages (H8) Fed. Govt. of Nig v. Zebra Energy Ltd p. 3167

COURTS - Issues - Binding nature of - Court cannot grant party relief not sought - As it has no jurisdiction to set up different or new case - For parties (H5) Nigerian Air Force v. Shekete p. 3257

CRIMINAL LAW - Forgery - Proof - Exhibits 9A-C were demonstrated to be false representations - With the intention to defraud on the part of the conspirators (H4) Nigerian Air Force v. James p. 3287

DOCUMENTS - Official acts - Regularity of - Confirmation of the authorization to convene court martial in writing - Raises presumption of regularity - In absence of evidence to the contrary (H2) Nigerian Air Force v. James p. 3287

EVIDENCE - Burden of proof - By Evidence Act s.141(1) - Since respondent introduced the issue of approval of funds - Onus is on

INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2002) 12 KLR v

him to satisfactorily prove same (H6) Nigerian Air Force v. James p. 3287

EVIDENCE - Crime - Proof - From findings of the court martial - Appellant clearly proved that N10m was stolen by accused - Inclusive of respondent who aided and abetted the forgery (H5) Nigerian Air Force v. James p. 3287

ORDERS OF COURT - Restitution - Instance - Armed Forces Decree s. 174 - Permits invocation of restitution against person - Who has been found guilty of an offence (H9) Nigerian Air Force v. James p. 3287

PLEADINGS - Counter affidavits - Refusal of - Propriety - Courts were right to refuse use of the affidavits - Since facts of the matter are not disputed - And arguments were based on law (H5) Fed. Govt. of Nig v. Zebra Energy Ltd p. 3167

STATUTES - Performance of duty - Regulated by statute - Method - When procedure for carrying out a matter is provided in statute - Party must comply with the provisions (H6) Fed. Govt. of Nig v. Zebra Energy Ltd p. 3167

WORDS & PHRASES - Public officers - Meaning - Ibrahim v. Judicial Service Committee - Public officers not only refer to natural persons sued in their personal names - But also to artificial persons sued in their official names (H3) Fed. Govt. of Nig v. Zebra Energy Ltd p. 3167

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

African Charter on Human and Peoples Right, Art. 24 Mobil Producing Nig Unltd v. LSEPA p. 3223

Armed Forces Decree No 105 of 1993, ss. 131 (1),(2) and (3), 168 (1),169 (2) and 174 Nigerian Air Force v. James p. 3287, ss. 131, 169, 183 and 184 Nigerian Air Force v. Shekete p. 3257

vi ***INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES IN (2002) 12 KLR***

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979, ss. 33 (5) and 40 Nigerian Air Force v. Shekete p. 3257

Criminal procedure Act, ss. 162, 163 and 164 Nigerian Air Force v. James p. 3287

Decree No 23 of 1999 Fed. Govt. of Nig v. Zebra Energy Ltd p. 3167

Evidence Act, cap 113, LFN 1990, s. 144 (1) Nigerian Air Force v. James p. 3303, s. 27 (3) and 178 Nigerian Air Force v. Shekete p. 3257

Federal Environmental Protection Agency Act, s. 29(2) Mobil Producing Nig Unltd v. LSEPA p. 3223

Federal High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules 1999, O. 25 r. 6 Mobil Producing Nig Unltd v. LSEPA p. 3223

Federal High Court Rules 2000, O. 7, r. 3 Fed. Govt. of Nig v. Zebra Energy Ltd p. 3167

Local Authority Law Cap 77 Laws of Northern Nigeria, s. 116(2)

Mobil Producing Nig Unltd v. LSEPA p. 3223

Petroleum Act Cap 350 LFN 1990 Fed. Govt. of Nig v. Zebra Energy Ltd p. 3167

Ports Act, s. 97 Fed. Govt. of Nig v. Zebra Energy Ltd p. 3167

Public Health Act 1875 (38 & Vict. C. 55), s. 264 Fed. Govt. of Nig v. Zebra Energy Ltd p. 3167

Public Officers Protection Act Cap 379 LFN 1990 Fed. Govt. of Nig v. Zebra Energy Ltd p. 3167

Tribunal Authority Ordinance Cap 245 Laws of the Gold Coast, s. 19(2) Mobil Producing Nig Unltd v. LSEPA p. 3223

Tribunals of Inquiry Act Cap 447 LFN 1990 Fed. Govt. of Nig v. Zebra Energy Ltd p. 3167